The envelope theory and the improved envelope theory: an overview of these approximation methods

Presented by Cyrille Chevalier

September 8, 2022

Nuclear and subnuclear physics department of Umons





### Table of contents

- The Envelope Theory (ET) for systems of all identical particles
  - What is the ET ?
  - Essential idea behind the ET
  - Compact equations
  - Commentary
  - Tests
  - To improve ET
- Coupling with the dominantly orbital state method (DOSM)
  - Application of the DOSM to compact equations
  - Methodology
  - Tests

### Table of contents

- ET and improved ET for systems of  $N_a$  identical particles plus one different (systems of  $N_a + 1$  particles)
  - Why to generalize ?
  - Compact equations for the ET
  - Coupling with the DOSM
  - Concrete results
- Conclusion : why should you use the envelope theory ?

The ET provides approximation of spectrum for a very large class of Hamiltonians [1].

<sup>[1]</sup> Semay, Ducobu (2016) Eur. J. Phys., 37, 045403

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

The ET provides approximation of spectrum for a very large class of Hamiltonians [1].

For today, we will use:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(p_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(r_{ij})$$
  
with  $p_i = |\vec{p_i}|$  and  $r_{ij} = |\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|$ .

<sup>[1]</sup> Semay, Ducobu (2016) Eur. J. Phys., 37, 045403

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

The ET provides approximation of spectrum for a very large class of Hamiltonians [1].

For today, we will use:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(p_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(r_{ij})$$
  
with  $p_i = |\vec{p_i}|$  and  $r_{ij} = |\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|$ .

The basic idea of the ET is to approximate this hamiltonian with a set of harmonic oscillator (HO) Hamiltonian [2].

<sup>[1]</sup> Semay, Ducobu (2016) Eur. J. Phys., 37, 045403

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Remark about the *N* identical body HO:

$$H_{OH} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} \rho \vec{r}_{ij}^{2} - \frac{\vec{P}^{2}}{2Nm} \quad \text{with } \vec{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{p}_{i}.$$

<sup>[3]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret, Brau (2010) J. Math. Phys., 51, 032104

Remark about the *N* identical body HO:

$$H_{OH} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} \rho \vec{r}_{ij}^2 - \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2Nm} \quad \text{with } \vec{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{p}_i.$$

The exact spectrum for this Hamiltonian can be analytically found [3]:

$$E_{n_i,l_i} = \sqrt{\frac{2N
ho}{m}}Q(N)$$
 with  $Q(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (2n_i + l_i + D/2)$ 

[3] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret, Brau (2010) J. Math. Phys., 51, 032104

Remark about the *N* identical body HO:

$$H_{OH} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{p}_{i}^{\,2}}{2m} + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} \rho \vec{r}_{ij}^{\,2} - \frac{\vec{P}^{2}}{2Nm} \quad \text{with } \vec{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{p}_{i}.$$

The exact spectrum for this Hamiltonian can be analytically found [3]:

$$E_{n_i,l_i} = \sqrt{rac{2N
ho}{m}}Q(N) ext{ with } Q(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(2n_i+l_i+D/2)$$

(We use natural units).

[3] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret, Brau (2010) J. Math. Phys., 51, 032104

Aim: find the spectrum of this generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(p_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(r_{ij}).$$

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Aim: find the spectrum of this generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N T(p_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^N V(r_{ij}).$$

To this end, we introduce an auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(p_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \rho_{ij}).$$

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Aim: find the spectrum of this generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N T(p_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^N V(r_{ij}).$$

To this end, we introduce an auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(p_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \rho_{ij}).$$

•  $\mu_i$  and  $\rho_{ij}$  are called **auxiliary fields** [2]. For now, they depend on the variables  $\vec{p_i}$  and  $\vec{r_i}$ .

[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Commentaries about the auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(\mathbf{p}_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \rho_{ij}).$$

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Commentaries about the auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(p_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, 
ho_{ij}).$$

• Illustration for the potential:

$$\tilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \rho_{ij}) = \rho_{ij}r_{ij}^2 + V(J(\rho_{ij})) + \rho_{ij}J(\rho_{ij})^2$$
  
where  $J(x)$  is the inverse of  $V'(x)/(2x)$ .

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Commentaries about the auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(p_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, 
ho_{ij}).$$

• Illustration for the potential:

 $\tilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \rho_{ij}) = \rho_{ij}r_{ij}^2 + V(J(\rho_{ij})) + \rho_{ij}J(\rho_{ij})^2$ where J(x) is the inverse of V'(x)/(2x).  $\Rightarrow \tilde{H}$  looks like an HO Hamiltonian.

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Commentaries about the auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$ilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ilde{T}_i(p_i, \mu_i) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, 
ho_{ij}).$$

• Illustration for the potential:

 $ilde{V}_{ij}(r_{ij}, 
ho_{ij}) = 
ho_{ij}r_{ij}^2 + V(J(
ho_{ij})) + 
ho_{ij}J(
ho_{ij})^2$ where J(x) is the inverse of V'(x)/(2x).

 $\Rightarrow$   $ilde{H}$  looks like an HO Hamiltonian.

• Setting the constraints  $\frac{\delta \tilde{H}}{\delta \mu_i} = \frac{\delta \tilde{H}}{\delta \rho_{ij}} = 0$ , *H* is recovered [2].

[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Idea behind the ET: to replace the **auxiliary fields** by **auxiliary parameters** [2].  $\tilde{H}$  becomes then an HO Hamiltonian.

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Idea behind the ET: to replace the **auxiliary fields** by **auxiliary parameters** [2].  $\tilde{H}$  becomes then an HO Hamiltonian.

The spectrum of 
$$H$$
 is approximately recovered by setting  

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \mu_i}\Big|_{\mu_i = \mu_{i0}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \rho_{ij}}\Big|_{\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ij0}} = 0 \text{ where } \tilde{E} \text{ is an energy level of } \tilde{H} [2].$$

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

Idea behind the ET: to replace the **auxiliary fields** by **auxiliary parameters** [2].  $\tilde{H}$  becomes then an HO Hamiltonian.

The spectrum of 
$$H$$
 is approximately recovered by setting  

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \mu_i}\Big|_{\mu_i = \mu_{i0}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \rho_{ij}}\Big|_{\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ij0}} = 0 \text{ where } \tilde{E} \text{ is an energy level of } \tilde{H} [2].$$

Conclusion: for each energy level, the method gives a set  $\{\mu_{i0}, \rho_{ij0}\}$  that approximates *H*.

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

 $\Rightarrow$  Basically *H* is approximated by a set of auxiliary HO Hamiltonians, one for each level [2].

[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

[4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., 3, 231

 $\Rightarrow$  Basically *H* is approximated by a set of auxiliary HO Hamiltonians, one for each level [2].

It can be shown that the constraints

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \mu_i}\Big|_{\mu_i=\mu_{i0}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \rho_{ij}}\Big|_{\rho_{ij}=\rho_{ij0}} = 0$$

lead to a set of three equations called **compact equations** of the ET [4].

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

<sup>[4]</sup> Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231

#### The ET for systems of all identical particles Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(|\vec{p}_i|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|),$$

<sup>[4]</sup> Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., 3, 231

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(|\vec{p_i}|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [4]:

$$\left\{egin{aligned} E &= NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
ho_0) \ Np_0 \, T'(p_0) &= C_N^2 
ho_0 \, V'(
ho_0) \ \sqrt{C_N^2} 
ho_0 p_0 &= Q \end{aligned}
ight.$$

[4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(|\vec{p_i}|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [4]:

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0) \\ Np_0 T'(p_0) = C_N^2 \rho_0 V'(\rho_0) \\ \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0 = Q \end{cases}$$

[4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231

#### The ET for systems of all identical particles Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(|\vec{p_i}|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [4]:

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0) \\ Np_0 T'(p_0) = C_N^2 \rho_0 V'(\rho_0) \\ \sqrt{C_N^2 \rho_0 p_0} = Q \end{cases}$$
with  $Q(N) = \sum^{N-1} (2p_0 + b) + (N-1)$ 

• with  $Q(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (2n_i + l_i) + (N-1)\frac{D}{2}$ ,

<sup>[4]</sup> Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., 3, 231

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T(|\vec{p_i}|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N} V(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [4]:

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0) \\ Np_0 T'(p_0) = C_N^2 \rho_0 V'(\rho_0) \\ \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0 = Q \end{cases}$$

• with 
$$Q(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (2n_i + l_i) + (N-1)\frac{D}{2}$$
,  
• with,  $\forall i, j$ ,  $p_0^2 = \langle \vec{p_i}^2 \rangle$  and  $\rho_0^2 = \langle (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j})^2 \rangle$ .

[4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ET}}$  & DOSM for  $\ensuremath{\mathit{N_a}}\xspace+1$  000000

### The ET for systems of all identical particles Commentary about ET

• Variational character: ET can give an upper or a lower bound [2].



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

- [4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231
- [5] Semay (2015) Few-Body Syst., 56, 149

### The ET for systems of all identical particles Commentary about ET

- Variational character: ET can give an upper or a lower bound [2].
- The compact equations have a nice semi-classical interpretation [4].



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

- [4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., **3**, 231
- [5] Semay (2015) Few-Body Syst., 56, 149

### The ET for systems of all identical particles Commentary about ET

- Variational character: ET can give an upper or a lower bound [2].
- The compact equations have a nice semi-classical interpretation [4].
- Solution may be **analytical** with *N* as a variable [5].

[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601
[4] Semay, Roland (2013) Res. Phys., 3, 231
[5] Semay (2015) Few-Body Syst., 56, 149

• Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].

[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

[6] Semay (2011) Phys. Rev. A, 83, 024101

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - Variational character  $= \tilde{H}$  are tangent to H

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

<sup>[6]</sup> Semay (2011) Phys. Rev. A, 83, 024101

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - Variational character  $= \tilde{H}$  are tangent to H



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601
[6] Semay (2011) Phys. Rev. A, 83, 024101

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - Variational character =  $\tilde{H}$  are tangent to H + comparison theorem [6].



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601
[6] Semay (2011) Phys. Rev. A, 83, 024101

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .
    - $b_V$  is concave  $\Rightarrow$  upper bound
    - $b_V$  is convexe  $\Rightarrow$  lower bound
    - $b_V$  is flat  $\Rightarrow$  exact result



[2] Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601
#### The ET for systems of all identical particles A variational character for ET

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .
    - $b_V$  is concave  $\Rightarrow$  upper bound
    - $b_V$  is convexe  $\Rightarrow$  lower bound
    - $b_V$  is flat  $\Rightarrow$  exact result

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

#### The ET for systems of all identical particles A variational character for ET

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .
    - $b_V$  is concave  $\Rightarrow$  upper bound
    - $b_V$  is convexe  $\Rightarrow$  lower bound
    - $b_V$  is flat  $\Rightarrow$  exact result
  - Warning: this must be true for V and T

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

#### The ET for systems of all identical particles A variational character for ET

- Variational character: for some Hamiltonian, the ET gives an upper or a lower bound [2].
  - In a practical way: define the function  $b_V(x)$  such that  $b_V(x^2) = V(x)$ .
    - $b_V$  is concave  $\Rightarrow$  upper bound
    - $b_V$  is convexe  $\Rightarrow$  lower bound
    - $b_V$  is flat  $\Rightarrow$  exact result
  - Warning: this must be true for V and T
    - $\bullet\,$  if it is not the case  $\Rightarrow$  no defined variational character

<sup>[2]</sup> Silvestre-Brac, Semay, Buisseret (2012) J. Phys. Math., 4, 120601

A semi-classical interpretation

# • Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:

A semi-classical interpretation

- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle

A semi-classical interpretation

- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"

- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"



- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"
- Energy:  $E \approx NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0)$



- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"
- Energy:  $E \approx NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
  ho_0)$
- Angular momentum:  $L = Nd_0 p_0 \approx \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0$



- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"
- Energy:  $E \approx NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
  ho_0)$
- Angular momentum:  $L = Nd_0 p_0 \approx \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0$



A semi-classical interpretation

- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"
- Energy:  $E \approx NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
  ho_0)$
- Angular momentum:
  - $\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{N} d_0 \boldsymbol{p}_0 \approx \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 \boldsymbol{p}_0$

$$\left\{egin{aligned} E &= NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
ho_0) \ \sqrt{C_N^2} 
ho_0 p_0 &= Q \ Np_0 \, T'(p_0) &= C_N^2 
ho_0 V'(
ho_0) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

A semi-classical interpretation

- Semi-classical interpretation for the compact equations [4]:
- N particles rotating on a circle
- With a "symmetrization"
- Energy:  $E \approx NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(
  ho_0)$
- Angular momentum:
  - $\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{N} d_0 \boldsymbol{p}_0 \approx \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 \boldsymbol{p}_0$

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0) \\ \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0 = \mathbf{Q} \\ Np_0 T'(p_0) = C_N^2 \rho_0 V'(\rho_0) \end{cases}$$

# The ET for systems of all identical particles Analycity

Hamiltonian: 
$$T(p) = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m}$$

$$V(x) = ax^2$$

 $\Rightarrow$  "Approximated" spectrum:  $E = Q \sqrt{\frac{2N}{m}a}$ 

# The ET for systems of all identical particles Analycity

Hamiltonian: 
$$T(p) = \frac{\vec{p}^{2}}{2m}$$
  $V(x) = ax^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  "Approximated" spectrum:  $E = Q\sqrt{\frac{2N}{m}a}$   
Hamiltonian:  $T(x) = Fx^{\alpha}$   $V(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)Gx^{\beta}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Approximated spectrum:  
 $E = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)(\beta + \alpha) \left( \left( \frac{NF}{|\beta|} \right)^{\beta} \left( \frac{G}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \sqrt{C_{N}^{2}} \right)^{2\alpha - \alpha\beta} Q^{\alpha\beta} \right)^{1/(\alpha + \beta)}$ 

# The ET for systems of all identical particles Analycity

Hamiltonian: 
$$T(p) = \frac{\vec{p}^{2}}{2m}$$
  $V(x) = ax^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  "Approximated" spectrum:  $E = Q\sqrt{\frac{2N}{m}a}$   
Hamiltonian:  $T(x) = Fx^{\alpha}$   $V(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)Gx^{\beta}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Approximated spectrum:  
 $E = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)(\beta + \alpha) \left( \left( \frac{NF}{|\beta|} \right)^{\beta} \left( \frac{G}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \sqrt{C_{N}^{2}} \right)^{2\alpha - \alpha\beta} Q^{\alpha\beta} \right)^{1/(\alpha + \beta)}$   
Hamiltonian:  $T(p) = \frac{\vec{p}^{2}}{2m}$   $V(x) = -V_{g}e^{-\frac{(x_{i} - x_{j})^{2}}{a^{2}}}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Approximated spectrum :  $E = -C_{N}^{2}V_{g}e^{2W(\delta)}(2W(\delta) + 1)$  where  
 $\delta = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N}{V_{g}2m(C_{N}^{2})^{2}a^{2}} Q^{2} \right)^{1/2}$  and  $W(x)$  is a Lambert function.

ET for *N* id.

ET & DOSM for  $N_a + 1$ 

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests



Figure: Biding energy for weakly-interacting bosons (gaussian interaction) with D = 3 - Exact results in circles, ET results in diamonds.

ET & DOSM for  $N_a + 1$ 

## The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests



Figure: Biding energy for self-gravitating bosons (coulomb interaction) with D = 3 - Exact results in circles, ET results in diamonds.

• The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.

#### • The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.

• The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

- The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.
  - The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} l_i + (N-1) \frac{D-2}{2}.$$

• The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.

• The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} l_i + (N-1) \frac{D-2}{2} \right].$$

• The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.

• The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left[ \lambda \right].$$

• The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.

• The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \phi \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + \lambda.$$

- The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.
  - The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \left[\phi\right] \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda.$$

- The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.
  - The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \left[\phi\right] \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda.$$

• How to choose  $\phi$  ?

- The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.
  - The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \left[\phi\right] \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda.$$

• How to choose  $\phi$  ?

• fit on a single known accurate solution [5]

- The improved ET: Q has a strong degeneracy.
  - The idea is to modify Q (inspired by [7]),

$$Q = \left[\phi\right] \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \lambda.$$

- $\bullet\,$  How to choose  $\phi$  ?
  - fit on a single known accurate solution [5]
  - DOSM [8]

# The DOSM for systems of all identical particles $_{\rm What\ is\ the\ DOSM\ ?}$

#### Strategy behind the DOSM [8]:

(1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution

<sup>[8]</sup> Semay (2015) Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 130, 156

# The DOSM for systems of all identical particles What is the DOSM ?

Strategy behind the DOSM [8]:

- $(1)\;$  To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)

<sup>[8]</sup> Semay (2015) Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 130, 156

# The DOSM for systems of all identical particles What is the DOSM ?

Strategy behind the DOSM [8]:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

<sup>[8]</sup> Semay (2015) Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 130, 156

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

$$\left\{egin{aligned} & ilde{E}_0 = NT( ilde{p}_0) + C_N^2 V( ilde{
ho}_0) \ &\sqrt{C_N^2} ilde{
ho}_0 ilde{p}_0 = \lambda \ &N ilde{
ho}_0 T'( ilde{
ho}_0) = C_N^2 ilde{
ho}_0 V'( ilde{
ho}_0) \end{aligned}
ight.$$



- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{
ho_0} \xrightarrow{pert.} \tilde{
ho_0} + \Delta \rho \\ & ilde{
ho_0} \xrightarrow{pert.} \sqrt{p_r^2 + \left(rac{\lambda}{\sqrt{C_N^2}( ilde{
ho_0} + \Delta 
ho)}
ight)^2} \end{split}$$



- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation



- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}_{0} &= NT(\tilde{p_{0}}) + C_{N}^{2}V(\tilde{\rho_{0}}) \xrightarrow{\text{pert.}} \\ \Delta E &= \left(\frac{N}{2\tilde{p_{0}}}T'(\tilde{p_{0}})\right)p_{r}^{2} + \left(\frac{N\tilde{p_{0}}}{\tilde{\rho_{0}}^{2}}T'(\tilde{p_{0}})\right) \\ &+ \frac{N\tilde{p_{0}}^{2}}{2\tilde{\rho_{0}}^{2}}T''(\tilde{p_{0}}) + \frac{C_{N}^{2}}{2}V''(\tilde{\rho_{0}})\right)\Delta\rho^{2} \end{split}$$



- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation


#### Strategy:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

$$\Delta E = \frac{p_r^2}{2\mu} + \frac{k}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \rightarrow \Delta E = \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

#### Strategy:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

$$\Delta E = \frac{p_r^2}{2\mu} + \frac{k}{2}\Delta\rho^2 \rightarrow \Delta E = \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

#### Strategy:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

Test on HO 
$$\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \sqrt{C_N^2} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

#### Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions.

#### Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions. (1) To restructure Q,

$$Q = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \lambda$$

Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions. (1) To restructure Q,

$$Q = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \lambda$$
$$= \lambda \epsilon + \lambda \qquad \text{avec } \epsilon = \frac{\phi}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions.
(1) To restructure Q,
(2) To develop for ε ≪ 1 (radial perturbation),

$$egin{aligned} Q &= \lambda \epsilon + \lambda \ 
ho_0 &= ilde{
ho_0} + \Delta 
ho \ p_0 &= ilde{
ho_0} + \Delta 
ho \end{aligned}$$

Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions.
(1) To restructure Q,
(2) To develop for ε ≪ 1 (radial perturbation),

$$egin{array}{ll} ilde{E}_0 =& \mathsf{N} T( ilde{
ho_0}) + C_{\mathsf{N}}^2 \mathsf{V}( ilde{
ho_0}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pert.}} \ \Delta E =& \mathsf{N} ilde{
ho_0} \, T'( ilde{
ho_0}) \epsilon \end{array}$$

Aim: to compare ET and DOSM in the same conditions.
(1) To restructure Q,
(2) To develop for ε ≪ 1 (radial perturbation),

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{E}_0 = \mathsf{N} \mathcal{T}( ilde{p}_0) + C_{\mathsf{N}}^2 \mathsf{V}( ilde{
ho}_0) & \stackrel{pert.}{\longrightarrow} \ & \Delta E = \mathsf{N} ilde{p}_0 \, \mathcal{T}'( ilde{p}_0) & rac{\phi}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \mathsf{n}_i + rac{1}{2} 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

#### (3) Determination of $\phi$

$$DOSM \to \Delta E = \sqrt{\frac{k}{C_N^2 \mu}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$
  
improved ET  $\to \Delta E = N \tilde{p_0} T'(\tilde{p_0}) \frac{\phi}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ 

#### (3) Determination of $\phi$

$$\phi = \frac{\lambda}{N\tilde{p_0}T'(\tilde{p_0})}\sqrt{\frac{k}{C_N^2\mu}}$$

with 
$$\mu = rac{ ilde{p_0}}{ extsf{NT'}( ilde{p_0})}$$

and 
$$k = \frac{2N\tilde{p_0}}{\tilde{\rho_0}^2}T'(\tilde{p_0}) + \frac{N\tilde{p_0}^2}{\tilde{\rho_0}^2}T''(\tilde{p_0}) + C_N^2V''(\tilde{\rho_0})$$

## The DOSM for systems of all identical particles

Methodology

Methodology [8]:

#### Methodology [8]:

• To choose  $I_i$  and calculate  $\lambda$ ,

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} l_i + (N-1) \frac{D-2}{2}$$

Methodology [8]:

- To choose  $I_i$  and calculate  $\lambda$ ,
- To solve the ET compact equations for a purely orbital excitation (to find  $\tilde{\rho_0}$  and  $\tilde{p_0}$ ),

$$egin{cases} \sqrt{C_N^2} ilde{
ho_0} ilde{
ho_0} = \lambda \ N ilde{
ho_0} \, T'( ilde{
ho_0}) = C_N^2 ilde{
ho_0} \, V'( ilde{
ho_0}) \end{cases}$$

#### Methodology [8]:

- To choose  $I_i$  and calculate  $\lambda$ ,
- To solve the ET compact equations for a purely orbital excitation (to find  $\tilde{\rho_0}$  and  $\tilde{p_0}$ ),
- $\bullet$  To compute  $\phi{}_{\rm r}$

$$\phi = \frac{\lambda}{N\tilde{\rho_0}T'(\tilde{\rho_0})}\sqrt{\frac{k}{C_N^2\mu}} \text{ with } \mu = \frac{\tilde{\rho_0}}{NT'(\tilde{\rho_0})}$$
  
and  $k = \frac{2N\tilde{\rho_0}}{\tilde{\rho_0}^2}T'(\tilde{\rho_0}) + \frac{N\tilde{\rho_0}^2}{\tilde{\rho_0}^2}T''(\tilde{\rho_0}) + C_N^2V''(\tilde{\rho_0})$ 

#### Methodology [8]:

- To choose  $I_i$  and calculate  $\lambda$ ,
- To solve the ET compact equations for a purely orbital excitation (to find  $\tilde{\rho_0}$  and  $\tilde{p_0}$ ),
- To compute  $\phi$ ,
- To choose  $n_i$  and calculate Q (do not forget  $\phi$ ),

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \phi \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \lambda$$

#### Methodology [8]:

- To choose  $I_i$  and calculate  $\lambda$ ,
- To solve the ET compact equations for a purely orbital excitation (to find  $\tilde{\rho_0}$  and  $\tilde{p_0}$ ),
- To compute  $\phi$ ,
- To choose  $n_i$  and calculate Q (do not forget  $\phi$ ),
- To resolve ET compact equations with this Q.

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_0) + C_N^2 V(\rho_0) \\ \sqrt{C_N^2} \rho_0 p_0 = Q \\ Np_0 T'(p_0) = C_N^2 \rho_0 V'(\rho_0) \end{cases}$$

## The ET for systems of all identical particles Example

Hamiltonian:  $T(x) = Fx^{\alpha}$   $V(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)Gx^{\beta}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Determination of  $\tilde{
ho_0}$  and  $\tilde{
ho_0}$ :

$$\tilde{\rho_0} = \left(\frac{N\alpha F \lambda^{\alpha}}{|\beta| G \sqrt{C_N}^{\alpha+2}}\right)^{1/(\alpha+\beta)} \text{ and } \tilde{p_0} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{C_N} \tilde{\rho_0}}$$

Result is from [9] arXiv:2111.14744 (to appear in Few-Body Syst.)

## The ET for systems of all identical particles Example

- Hamiltonian:  $T(x) = Fx^{\alpha}$   $V(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)Gx^{\beta}$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Determination of  $\tilde{
    ho_0}$  and  $\tilde{
    ho_0}$ :

$$\tilde{\rho_0} = \left(\frac{N\alpha F \lambda^{\alpha}}{|\beta| G \sqrt{C_N}^{\alpha+2}}\right)^{1/(\alpha+\beta)} \text{ and } \tilde{\rho_0} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{C_N} \tilde{\rho_0}}$$

⇒ Computation of  $\phi$ :

$$\phi = \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$$

Result is from [9] arXiv:2111 14744 (to appear in Few-Body Syst.)

## The ET for systems of all identical particles Example

- Hamiltonian:  $T(x) = Fx^{\alpha}$   $V(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)Gx^{\beta}$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Determination of  $\tilde{
    ho_0}$  and  $\tilde{
    ho_0}$ :

$$\tilde{\rho_0} = \left(\frac{N\alpha F \lambda^{\alpha}}{|\beta| G \sqrt{C_N}^{\alpha+2}}\right)^{1/(\alpha+\beta)} \text{ and } \tilde{\rho_0} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{C_N} \tilde{\rho_0}}$$

⇒ Computation of  $\phi$ :

$$\phi = \sqrt{\alpha + \beta}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Final spectrum:

$$E = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta)(\beta + \alpha) \left( \left( \frac{NF}{|\beta|} \right)^{\beta} \left( \frac{G}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \sqrt{C_N^2} \right)^{2\alpha - \alpha\beta} Q^{\alpha\beta} \right)^{1/(\alpha+\beta)}$$
  
with  $Q = \sqrt{\alpha + \beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \lambda$ 

Result is from [9] arXiv:2111 14744 (to appear in Few-Body Syst.)

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{ET} \And \mathsf{DOSM} \text{ for } \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{a}} + 1 \\ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \end{array}$ 

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests



Figure: Biding energy for weakly-interacting bosons (gaussian interaction) with d = 3 - Exact results in circles, ET results in diamonds,  $\phi = 1.82$  results in dashed line.

ET & DOSM for  $N_a + 1$ 

## The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests



Figure: Biding energy for self-gravitating bosons (coulomb interaction) with d = 3 - Exact results in circles, ET results in diamonds,  $\phi = 1$  results in dashed line.

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests

| $n_1 + n_2$ | $I_1 + I_2$ | Exact | ET ( $\phi = 2$ ) | ET ( $\phi = \sqrt{2}$ ) |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 0           | 0           | 2.128 | 2.468             | 2.165                    |
| 0           | 1           | 2.606 | 2.914             | 2.662                    |
| 1           | 0           | 2.739 | 3.300             | 2.842                    |
| 0           | 2           | 2.959 | 3.300             | 3.080                    |
| 1           | 1           | 3.125 | 3.646             | 3.237                    |
| 0           | 3           | 3.299 | 3.646             | 3.448                    |
| 2           | 0           | 3.260 | 3.961             | 3.387                    |
| 1           | 2           | 3.422 | 3.961             | 3.589                    |
| 0           | 4           | 3.581 | 3.961             | 3.780                    |
| Δ           |             |       | 15%               | 3.8%                     |

Table: Eigenmasses in GeV given by a model of light baryons (D = 3 and N = 3).

### The ET for systems of all identical particles

Tests

| $n_1 + n_2$ | $I_1 + I_2$ | Exact | ET ( $\phi = 2$ ) | ET ( $\phi = \sqrt{2}$ ) |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 0           | 0           | 2.128 | 2.468             | 2.165                    |
| 0           | 1           | 2.606 | 2.914             | 2.662                    |
| 1           | 0           | 2.739 | 3.300             | 2.842                    |
| 0           | 2           | 2.959 | 3.300             | 3.080                    |
| 1           | 1           | 3.125 | 3.646             | 3.237                    |
| 0           | 3           | 3.299 | 3.646             | 3.448                    |
| 2           | 0           | 3.260 | 3.961             | 3.387                    |
| 1           | 2           | 3.422 | 3.961             | 3.589                    |
| 0           | 4           | 3.581 | 3.961             | 3.780                    |
| Δ           |             |       | 15%               | 3.8%                     |

Table: Eigenmasses in GeV given by a model of light baryons (D = 3 and N = 3).

- Large-*N* approach of QCD :
  - N quarks + one gluon

- Large-*N* approach of QCD :
  - N quarks + one gluon
- Constituent approach:
  - Interaction with gluonic field → potential

- Large-N approach of QCD :
  - N quarks + one gluon
- Constituent approach:
  - Interaction with gluonic field → potential
- Combination:
  - To solve a  $N_a + 1$  particles quantum system

#### Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} T_a(|\vec{p}_i|) + T_b(|\vec{p}_b|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N_a} V_{aa}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} V_{ab}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_b|),$$

<sup>[9]</sup> Semay, Cimino, Willemyns (2020) Few-Body Syst., 61, 19

Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} T_a(|\vec{p}_i|) + T_b(|\vec{p}_b|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N_a} V_{aa}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} V_{ab}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_b|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [9]:

$$\begin{cases} E = N_a T_a(\pi'_0) + T_b(p_0) + C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}(\rho_0) + N_a V_{ab}(\rho'_0) \\ T_a'(\pi'_0) \frac{\pi_0^2}{\pi'_0} = C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}'(\rho_0) \rho_0 + \frac{N_a - 1}{2} V_{ab}'(\rho'_0) \frac{\rho_0^2}{\rho'_0} \\ T_b'(p_0) p_0 + \frac{1}{N_a} T_a'(\pi'_0) \frac{\rho_0^2}{\pi'_0} = N_a V_{ab}'(\rho'_0) \frac{r_0^2}{\rho'_0} \\ \pi_0 \rho_0 \sqrt{\frac{N_a - 1}{2}} = Q(N_a) \\ p_0 r_0 = Q(2) \end{cases}$$

• with 
$$\pi'_0{}^2 = \frac{\pi_0^2}{N_a} + \frac{\rho_0^2}{N_a^2}$$
 and  $\rho'_0{}^2 = \frac{N_a - 1}{2N_a} \rho_0^2 + r_0^2$   
• with  $Q(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(2n_i + l_i + \frac{D}{2}\right)$ 

Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} T_a(|\vec{p}_i|) + T_b(|\vec{p}_b|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N_a} V_{aa}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} V_{ab}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_b|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [9]:

$$\begin{cases} E = N_a T_a(\pi_0') + T_b(p_0) + C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}(\rho_0) + N_a V_{ab}(\rho_0') \\ T_a'(\pi_0') \frac{\pi_0^2}{\pi_0'} = C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}'(\rho_0) \rho_0 + \frac{N_a - 1}{2} V_{ab}'(\rho_0') \frac{\rho_0^2}{\rho_0'} \\ T_b'(p_0) p_0 + \frac{1}{N_a} T_a'(\pi_0') \frac{\rho_0^2}{\pi_0'} = N_a V_{ab}'(\rho_0') \frac{r_0^2}{\rho_0'} \\ \pi_0 \rho_0 \sqrt{\frac{N_a - 1}{2}} = Q(N_a) \\ p_0 r_0 = Q(2) \end{cases}$$

• with 
$$\pi'_0{}^2 = \frac{\pi_0^2}{N_a} + \frac{\rho_0^2}{N_a^2}$$
 and  $\rho'_0{}^2 = \frac{N_a - 1}{2N_a} \rho_0^2 + r_0^2$   
• with  $Q(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(2n_i + l_i + \frac{D}{2}\right)$ 

#### The ET and DOSM for systems of $N_a + 1$ particles Compact equations

Comparison with compact equations for systems of all identical particles:

$$\begin{cases} E = NT(p_{0}) + C_{N}^{2}V(\rho_{0}) \\ Np_{0}T'(p_{0}) = C_{N}^{2}\rho_{0}V'(\rho_{0}) \\ \sqrt{C_{N}^{2}}\rho_{0}p_{0} = Q \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} E = N_{a}T_{a}(\pi_{0}') + T_{b}(p_{0}) + C_{N_{a}}^{2}V_{aa}(\rho_{0}) + N_{a}V_{ab}(\rho_{0}') \\ T_{a}'(\pi_{0}')\frac{\pi_{0}^{2}}{\pi_{0}'} = C_{N_{a}}^{2}V_{aa}'(\rho_{0})\rho_{0} + \frac{N_{a}-1}{2}V_{ab}'(\rho_{0}')\frac{\rho_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{0}'} \\ T_{b}'(p_{0})p_{0} + \frac{1}{N_{a}}T_{a}'(\pi_{0}')\frac{\rho_{0}^{2}}{\pi_{0}'} = N_{a}V_{ab}'(\rho_{0}')\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{0}'} \\ \pi_{0}\rho_{0}\sqrt{\frac{N_{a}-1}{2}} = Q(N_{a}) \\ p_{0}r_{0} = Q(2) \end{cases}$$

Compact equations

**Practical user necessary informations**: let us take the following generic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} T_a(|\vec{p}_i|) + T_b(|\vec{p}_b|) + \sum_{i < j=2}^{N_a} V_{aa}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} V_{ab}(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_b|),$$

the next system gives an approximation for its spectrum [9]:

$$\begin{cases} E = N_a T_a(\pi_0') + T_b(p_0) + C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}(\rho_0) + N_a V_{ab}(\rho_0') \\ T_a'(\pi_0') \frac{\pi_0^2}{\pi_0'} = C_{N_a}^2 V_{aa}'(\rho_0) \rho_0 + \frac{N_a - 1}{2} V_{ab}'(\rho_0') \frac{\rho_0^2}{\rho_0'} \\ T_b'(p_0) p_0 + \frac{1}{N_a} T_a'(\pi_0') \frac{\rho_0^2}{\pi_0'} = N_a V_{ab}'(\rho_0') \frac{r_0^2}{\rho_0'} \\ \pi_0 \rho_0 \sqrt{\frac{N_a - 1}{2}} = Q(N_a) \\ p_0 r_0 = Q(2) \end{cases}$$

• with 
$$\pi'_0{}^2 = \frac{\pi_0^2}{N_a} + \frac{\rho_0^2}{N_a^2}$$
 and  $\rho'_0{}^2 = \frac{N_a - 1}{2N_a} \rho_0^2 + r_0^2$   
• with  $Q(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(2n_i + l_i + \frac{D}{2}\right)$ 

To apply the DOSM to the compact equations

#### Strategy [10]:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

And after comparison of ET and DOSM, we get:

<sup>[10]</sup> arXiv 2111 14744 (to appear in Fow Rody Syst.)

To apply the DOSM to the compact equations

#### Strategy [10]:

- (1) To start with a classical purely orbital solution
- (2) To start a radial perturbation (still classically)
- (3) To quantify the perturbation

And after comparison of ET and DOSM, we get:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{N_a} &= \frac{\lambda_{N_a}}{B_{N_a}} \sqrt{\frac{2A''}{(N_a-1)m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_b = \frac{\lambda_b}{B_b} \sqrt{\frac{B''}{m}} \\ \end{split}$$
where  $B_{N_a} &= T_a'(\tilde{\pi}_0') \frac{\tilde{\pi}_0^2}{\tilde{\pi}_0'} \text{ and } B_b = T_a'(\tilde{\pi}_0') \frac{\tilde{h}_0^2}{N_a \tilde{\pi}_0'} + T_b'(\tilde{\mu}_0) \tilde{p}_0, \\ m &= \sqrt{\mu_a \mu_b}_b, \\ \begin{cases} A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a} & \text{if } k_c = 0, \\ B'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b} & \text{if } k_c = 0, \\ \\ \frac{A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a} + \frac{k_2}{2}}{m_c} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \begin{cases} A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a} + \frac{k_2}{2} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \frac{A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a + \frac{k_2}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \begin{cases} A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a + \frac{k_2}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \frac{A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a + \frac{k_2}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \begin{cases} A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a + \frac{k_2}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon = \frac{1}{k_c} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b}} k_b - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_b}{\mu_b}} k_a \right) = 0, \\ \frac{A'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_a + \frac{k_2}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ \end{cases} & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ \end{cases} & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ B'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ R'' &= \frac{2\pi_0^2 \tilde{\mu}_0^2}{N_a^2 \sqrt{2} \tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0'} \left( T_a'' (\tilde{\pi}_0') T_b - T_a'' (\tilde{\pi}_0') \right) + \frac{(N_a - 1)\tilde{\mu}_0 \tilde{\mu}_0}{\tilde{\mu}_0'} \left( T_a'' (\tilde{\mu}_0) \tilde{\mu}_0' \right) - \frac{(N_a - 1)\tilde{\mu}_0 \tilde{\mu}_0}{\tilde{\mu}_0' \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\mu}(\delta_0')}} \right) \\ \frac{R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ R'' &= \frac{2\pi_0^2 \tilde{\mu}_0^2}{\tilde{\mu}_0'^2} \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0' - \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0'} \right) \\ \frac{R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) & \text{if } \epsilon \neq 0, \\ R'' &= \frac{2\pi_0^2 \tilde{\mu}_0^2}{\tilde{\mu}_0'^2} \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0' - \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0' \tilde{\mu}_0'} \right) \\ \frac{R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) \\ \frac{R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) \\ R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b + \frac{k_2}{2} \left( \frac{i}{(i\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} - \epsilon)} \right) } \\ \frac{R'' &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} k_b$ 

[10] arXiv:2111.14744 (to appear in Few-Body Syst.)
Tests

#### Test: $H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\vec{p_i}| + (\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})^2 + \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_3})^2$ (D = 3)

Tests

Test: 
$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\vec{p_i}| + (\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})^2 + \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_3})^2$$
  $(D = 3)$ 

$$T_{a}(x) = T_{b}(x) = |x|$$
  $V_{aa}(x) = x^{2}$   $V_{ab}(x) = \kappa x^{2}$ 

Tests

Test: 
$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\vec{p_i}| + (\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})^2 + \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_3})^2$$
  $(D = 3)$ 

 $T_a(x) = T_b(x) = |x|$   $V_{aa}(x) = x^2$   $V_{ab}(x) = \kappa x^2$ •  $\kappa = 0.1, 10$ :

| $(n_a, n_b, l_a, l_b)$ | $\kappa$ | Exact [8,9] | ET     | $\Delta(\%)$ | DOSM   | $\Delta(\%)$ |
|------------------------|----------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| (0,0,0,0)              | 0.1      | 5.288       | 5.597  | 5.5          | 5.307  | 0.4          |
|                        | 10       | 14.506      | 15.352 | 5.8          | 14.699 | 1.3          |
| (0,0,1,1)              | 0.1      | 7.515       | 7.868  | 4.7          | 7.625  | 1.5          |
|                        | 10       | 20.340      | 21.580 | 6.1          | 21.032 | 3.4          |
| (1,0,0,0)              | 0.1      | 8.067       | 8.570  | 6.2          | 8.010  | 0.7          |
|                        | 10       | 19.134      | 20.272 | 5.9          | 19.291 | 0.8          |
| (0,1,0,0)              | 0.1      | 6.750       | 6.970  | 3.2          | 6.571  | 2.7          |
|                        | 10       | 21.318      | 22.598 | 6.0          | 21.397 | 0.4          |

Tests

Test: 
$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\vec{p_i}| + (\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})^2 + \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_3})^2$$
  $(D = 3)$ 

 $T_a(x) = T_b(x) = |x|$   $V_{aa}(x) = x^2$   $V_{ab}(x) = \kappa x^2$ •  $\kappa = 0.1, 10$ :

| $(n_a, n_b, l_a, l_b)$ | $\kappa$ | Exact [8,9] | ET     | $\Delta(\%)$ | DOSM   | $\Delta(\%)$ |
|------------------------|----------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| (0,0,0,0)              | 0.1      | 5.288       | 5.597  | 5.5          | 5.307  | 0.4          |
|                        | 10       | 14.506      | 15.352 | 5.8          | 14.699 | 1.3          |
| (0,0,1,1)              | 0.1      | 7.515       | 7.868  | 4.7          | 7.625  | 1.5          |
|                        | 10       | 20.340      | 21.580 | 6.1          | 21.032 | 3.4          |
| (1,0,0,0)              | 0.1      | 8.067       | 8.570  | 6.2          | 8.010  | 0.7          |
|                        | 10       | 19.134      | 20.272 | 5.9          | 19.291 | 0.8          |
| (0,1,0,0)              | 0.1      | 6.750       | 6.970  | 3.2          | 6.571  | 2.7          |
|                        | 10       | 21.318      | 22.598 | 6.0          | 21.397 | 0.4          |

• Envelope theory is an approximation method ...

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:
  - K-body forces,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:
  - *K*-body forces,
  - $N_a$  particles of type a and  $N_b$  of type b,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:
  - K-body forces, [11]
  - $N_a$  particles of type a and  $N_b$  of type b, [10]

<sup>[11]</sup> Semay, Sicorello (2018) Few-Body Syst., 59, 119

<sup>[10]</sup> Semay, Cimino, Willemyns (2020) Few-Body Syst., 61, 19

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:
  - *K*-body forces,
  - $N_a$  particles of type a and  $N_b$  of type b,

- Envelope theory is an approximation method ...
  - easy to implement,
  - sometimes endowed with a variational character,
  - reliable even though not very accurate...
    - but this can be improved thanks to the DOSM.
- The introduced method covers systems with ...
  - N identical particles,
  - N<sub>a</sub> identical particles and one different,
  - (almost) arbitrary kinetics,
  - two-body interactions,
- ... but generalizations exist:
  - *K*-body forces,
  - $N_a$  particles of type a and  $N_b$  of type b,
- ⇒ Many applications: hadronic, nuclear, atomic and molecular, solid state physics...



THE ENVELOPE THEORY, THE METHOD THAT YOU NEED